### State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

### REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information	☐ Original Filing ☐ Supplement	ntal Filing	
	PLAINTIFF(S)			
	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT			
PARTIES TO THE ACTION				
<b>ж</b> о	COURT DOCKET NUMBER		COURT NAME	
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME			
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES	PAYMENT: OTHER	Á l'O
R	DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT	IS JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT?	IF YES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA	AI S
l PC	/ /	☐ Yes ☐ No	/ / /	
32	COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED			For Internal Use Only
FILER INFO	NAME OF CONTACT			
	ORGANIZATION			TELEPHONE NUMBER
	ADDRESS			FAX NUMBER
	CITY	STATE ZIP	E-MAIL ADDRESS	

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

Electronically Received 08/02/2019 02:19 PM 6 8 2 9 5 4 5 0	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, INC.	Courtesy Copy RECEIVED SEP 0.3 2019 DEPT. 15 FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles  SEP 2.7 2019 Sherri R. Carrier, Executive Officer/Clerk By Team Flame, Deputy Robert Karapetyan  HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
Electro 6	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	SHEFA LMV, INC.,  Plaintiff,  vs.  G-III APPAREL GROUP, LTD,  Defendant.	Case No. 19STCV07627  (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT  AS TO G-III APPAREL GROUP, LTD.  Action Filed: March 5, 2019  )			

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT G-III APPAREL GROUP, LTD.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff
Shefa LMV, Inc. ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and G-III Apparel Group, Ltd., ("Defendant," with Shefa
and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties.")

### 1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a public benefit, non-profit corporation that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

# 1.3 Settling Defendant

Defendant employs ten (10) or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

### 1.4 Products Covered

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are handbags, purses, clutches, backpacks, and wallets including, but not limited to Style: HM8ET932, Color: Yellow Andrew Marc Purse; ID: 400170430421, that are manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendant that contain Di-[2-Ethylhexyl] Phthalate ("DEHP") (collectively, the "Covered Products").

# 1.5 General Allegations

Shefa alleges that Defendant manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes, for sale in the state of California, the Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP (CAS # 68515-48-0) is a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity.

### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On August 22, 2018, Shefa served Defendant and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Covered Products expose

users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

# 1.7 Complaint

On March 5, 2019, Shefa filed the instant complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Los Angeles against Defendant and DOES 1-100, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to DEHP contained in the Covered Products sold in the State of California (the "Complaint").

### 1.8 No Admission

Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all the products it has manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Defendant. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, the Defendant agrees that they employ or have employed ten or more persons during time periods relevant to the Complaint and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMPLIANT PRODUCTS AND WARNINGS

### 2.1 Reformulation Standards

As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not purchase or manufacture for sale in California any Covered Products that contain DEHP in concentrations of more than 1000 parts per million ("ppm") when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other scientifically reliable methodology for determining the concentration of DEHP in the Covered Products.

# 2.2 Warning Standards

Defendant agrees, promises, and represents that Covered Products purchased or manufactured after the Effective Date that do not meet the reformulation standard in Section 2.1, shall be labeled with a warning. The warning shall be provided in a conspicuous and prominent manner such that they will be likely to be read or seen by the consumer prior to or at the time of the sale or purchase. The Parties agree that the warning set forth below shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any Covered Products that are not reformulated:

- (a) the text, "WARNING This product can expose you to chemicals, including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov." accompanied by and placed to the right of a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline sized to be no smaller than the word, "WARNING" as provided by regulations adopted on or about August 30, 2016; or
- (b) the text, "WARNING Cancer and Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov." accompanied by and placed to the right of a symbol consisting
  of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline sized
  to be no smaller than the word, "WARNING" as provided by regulations adopted on or

about August 30, 2016.

The triangular warning symbol specified in Section 2.2(a) and 2.2(b) shall be in yellow with a black exclamation mark; *provided however*, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is not printed against a yellow background.

# 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

- 3.1 Payment from Defendant. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall make the Total Settlement Payment of \$22,250.00.
- 3.2 Allocation of Payments. The Total Settlement Payment shall be paid in three (3) separate checks made payable and allocated as follows:
- 3.2.1 Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay \$4,000.00 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$3,000.00 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

# For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

# For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95814

The Shefa portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$1,000.00 shall be made payable to Shefa LMV, Inc. and associated with taxpayer identification number 81-0907002.

This payment shall be delivered to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

3.2.2 Attorney's Fees and Costs. A reimbursement of Shefa's attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$18,250.00 payable to the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum," and associated with taxpayer identification number 46-4580172. This payment shall be delivered to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

# 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

### 4.1 Public Release

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Shefa and Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Shefa, acting on behalf of itself and in a representative capacity in the public interest under Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, against Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, manufacturers, suppliers, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and the predecessors, successors, or assigns of each of them, and each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly exports, distributes or sells the Covered Products, including, without limitation, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees, including but not limited to Ross Stores, Inc., ("Releasees"), based on failure to warn of alleged exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. The release in this Section 4.1 applies to all Covered Products that Defendant manufactured, distributed, or sold prior to the Effective Date, regardless of the date any other Releasee distributes or sells the Covered Products.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant and the Releasees with respect to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed on and after the Effective Date.

### 4.2 Shefa's Individual Release of Claims

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Shefa, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or

assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims that it may have against Defendant and Releasees, including, without limitation, all actions and causes of action, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including, without limitation, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. The releases in Section 4.2 are provided in Shefa's individual capacity and are not releases on behalf of the public.

### 4.3 Defendant's Release of Shefa

Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating the claims set forth in the Complaint or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

# 4.4 Release of Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts contained in the Notice, or alleged in the Complaint, relating to the Covered Products, will hereafter be discovered or developed. Shefa, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. Shefa acknowledges that the claims released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless Shefa intends to release such claims, and in doing so waives California Civil Code § 1542, which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Shefa understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2

3

4

5

California Civil Code § 1542 is that, even if Shefa suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, the Covered Products, Shefa will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendant or any of the Releasees.

### 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court.

### 6. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to Shefa of any asserted change in the law, and with the exception of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above, have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. None of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall have any application to Covered Products sold outside of the State of California.

### 7. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier on any Party by the other at the following addresses:

	7	C 1	
10	1 10	and	ant:
3 ()		CHU	all.

Wayne Miller G-III Apparel Group, Ltd. 512 7th Avenue New York, NY 10018

With a copy to:

Jeff Margulies, Esq. NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor To Shefa:

Daniel N. Greenbaum Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 8. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 9. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

### 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), Shefa is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment.

Upon the Parties' execution of this Consent Judgment, Shefa promptly shall proceed to submit this Consent Judgment to the Court with a motion seeking Court approval.

### 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion. Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

#### 12. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

12.1 Any Party may bring a motion to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment, consistent with the terms and conditions of this Section 12. Any action by Shefa to enforce the requirements of Section 3 of this Consent Judgment, or to otherwise

bring a claim regarding alleged exposure to DEHP in a Covered Product shall be governed exclusively by Sections 12.2 and 12.3. At least 90 days before bringing any other action or motion to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Party seeking enforcement or alleging violation must notify the other Party of the specific act(s) alleged to constitute a breach of this Consent Judgment.

- 12.2 If Shefa contends that Defendant has failed to comply with the requirements of Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, it shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendant. The NOV shall contain, at a minimum: (a) the name of the product, including SKU, style number, or other product code, (b) specific dates when the product was sold after the Effective Date in California without reformulation, (c) the store or other place at which the product was available for sale to consumers, (d) evidence that the Reformulation Standard was exceeded and a warning was not provided, and (e) any other evidence or other support for the allegations in the NOV. The NOV shall be served on Defendant within 30 days of the alleged violation.
- 12.3 Within 30 days of receiving an NOV, Defendant shall serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") either contesting or not contesting the NOV.
- 12.3.1 Non-Contested NOV. If Defendant elects not to contest the NOV, it shall take corrective action by requesting that its customers in California remove the Covered Product identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Product to Defendant, or provide a warning pursuant to Section 2. Shefa will not take further action on an NOV if Defendant complies with the requirements of this Section 12.3.1.
- 12.3.2 Contested NOV. If Defendant elects to contest the NOV, the provisions of this Section 12.3.2 shall apply.
  - (a) Defendant may contest the NOV by establishing that it manufactured or purchased the Covered Product identified in the NOV before the Effective Date.
    - (b) Defendant may contest the NOV by establishing that it

provided a warning on the Covered Product that complied with Section 2.2.

- (c) Defendant may request that the sample(s) of Covered Product tested by Shefa be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA- or California-accredited laboratory. If the confirmatory testing establishes that the sample(s) do not contain DEHP in excess of the level allowed in Section 2, Shefa shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 2, Defendant may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE electing not to contest the NOV and describing its corrective action, pursuant to Section 12.3.1.
- (d) If Shefa does not agree to withdraw an NOV that Defendant contests under Sections 12.3.2(a) or (b) fails to take action under Section 12.3.1, the Parties shall meet and confer for no less than 30 days before Shefa may take action seeking to enforce the terms of this Agreement.
- (d) If Shefa establishes the violation, then Defendant shall take the corrective action required for a non-contested NOV under Section 12.3.1.

### 13. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
1 2		ž.
3	Date: _7/25/2019	Date: 7 25 20 19
4		
5	0.44	(A)
6	By: Yhloty	By:
7	SHEFĂ LMV, INC.	DEFENDANT G-III APPAREL GROUP,
8		LTD.
9	1	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	,	
15	1 1 2	
16	*	
17		
18		
19	1	
20		
21	P	
22		
23	7	

# |PROPOSED|-JUDGMENT

Please note that on 9-27, 2019 at 8-30 am/pm, Plaintiff Shefa LMV Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") Motion for Court Approval of Settlement Agreement and Entry of Consent Judgment as to Defendant G-III Apparel Group, Ltd. came for hearing before this Court in Department 15, the Honorable Richard L. Fruin presiding. Counsel for Plaintiff did [not] appear; counsel for Defendant did [not] appear.

After full consideration of the points and authorities and related pleadings submitted, the Court GRANTED Plaintiff's Motion pursuant to and in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f)(4). The Court reviewed the above Settlement Agreement and makes the following findings pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4):

- a. The injunctive relief required by the Settlement Agreement complies with Health &
   Safety Code § 25249.7;
- b. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Settlement Agreement is reasonable under California law; and
  - c. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Settlement Agreement is reasonable.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.



Judge of the Superior Court